## RACIAL EQUITY & LEARNING DIFFERENCES

Results & Learning from Equity Assessment Commissioned by Oak Foundation Learning Differences Programme

**July 2018** 



#### Informing the assessment

- → 31 grantee respondents to survey
- 14 thought leaders interviewed
- 5 foundation team members interviewed
- Materials review
- + Our critical analysis



## "To what degree does your organization incorporate an analysis of race and structural racism into your core work?"

Out of 30 responses:

- 3 (10%) None
- ► 6 (20%) Minimally
- 4 (13.33%) Implicitly
- 12 (40%) Explicitly, but not consistently
- 5 (16.67%) Explicitly and consistently



# LD organizations care about racial equity, but struggle to integrate into their work

		Explicit	<b>Explicit+Consistent</b>
	In understanding of LD Partner with RJ Orgs/POC	65% 62%	35% 38%
<u></u>	In TOC, strategic framework, etc.	53%	47%
	Racial Diversity on Staff/Board Conversations about race	52% 46%	48% 54%
	Engage POC in programs	35%	65%
-	Disaggregate data - outcomes  Disaggregate data - constituents	35% 26%	65% 74%



#### Success strategies

- Leadership body to move the work
- Embedded across all work
- RE goals and outcomes
- RÉ policies and norms
- Constituents at decision-making tables
- Professional development on RE



Complex, often unconscious, barriers limit integration of racial equity into the work of LD organizations

- 1. Limited analysis
- 2. Colorblindness
- 3. Universalism
- 4. Competing oppressions
- 5. Unexamined bias



There is a strong desire for a critical pedagogy and a community of practice that explicitly links racial equity and learning differences.



## Map race + learning differences

- Disparate Diagnosis
- Disparate Services
- Disparate Experience with Systems, particularly education and health care
- Biases in Research



#### Opportunities for change

- Promote integrated vision of racial equity and learning differences as coequal strengths and values.
- Build pipeline of LD researchers, leaders, and advocates who are people of color and white allies.
- Support research on LD + RE (with some cautions).
- Confront white privilege and bias in the LD field.



## Grantees note the need for some critical capacities:

- Data + Data Systems + Communications
- Best Practices
- Customized RE Training and TA
- Peer Learning



### Questions? Insights?

11

